

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,655	04/02/2004	Paul E. Cook	1268.3003.002	3396
41242 WILLIAM I S	242 7590 02/07/2008 TLLIAM J. SCHRAMM		EXAMINER	
40701 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 50 PO BOX 492 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303-0492			PHASGE, ARUN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
220 0 1222 1223, 10203 0.12			1795	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		02/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/817,655	COOK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arun S. Phasge	1795
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1795

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 8-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (Kim), U.S. Patent 4,445,990.

Kim discloses the claimed method for the recovery of metal from waste plating stream and using the recovered metal comprising, providing a waste metal plating stream containing metal ions in an aqueous solution, passing the waste metal plating stream containing the metal ions into an electrochemical cell assembly having an inlet for the waste metal plating stream, a plurality of alternating anodes and cathode porous to the waste metal solution and an exit from the cell, passing the waste metal plating stream through the pores of the cathode, passing an electrical current through the anode and cathodes thereby depositing a portion of the metal ions onto the cathodes and reducing the amount of the metal ion in the

Application/Control Number: 10/817,655 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

solution from that in the introduced waste metal plating stream, recovering the deposited metal from the cathode and using the recovered deposited metal as a source of metal to be deposited on to a substrate in a subsequent metal plating process (see figures 1 and 3 and claims 1-5). The patent teaches the treatment of metals, such as the claimed copper and nickel (see col. 1, lines 10-15). The patent further teaches the removal of the cathode to reclaim the metal by salvaging or returned to the plating bath, the removal of the metal from the cathode would read upon the claimed fractured removal of the metal (see col. 3, lines 4-8). The wastewater is from the dragout tank which is a rinse bath, since it rinses off the contaminants (see figure 1). The patent further teaches the recycle of the solution back to the bath after treatment (see figure 1).

Therefore, since the Kim patent teaches each and every limitation, the claims are anticipated.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim.as applied to claims above, and further in view of De Nora et al. (de Nora), U.S. Patent 4,834,850.

The Kim patent fails to disclose the pores per inch claimed or the concentration before and after treatment of the rinse solution.

The de Nora patent is cited to show the use of a similar range of pores per inch used in the reclamation of metal ions from wastewater (see col. 6, lines 1-10). The exact concentration of the solution before and after treatment is dependent upon a variety of different process limitations, such as the flow rate, porosity of the electrodes and number of cells (see col. 5, lines 3-22).

Application/Control Number: 10/817,655

Art Unit: 1795

Consequently, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of the Kim patent with the teachings contained in the de Nora patent, because the de Nora patent teaches the use of similar porous cathodes used to remove metal from wastewater.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun 5. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795